



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,264	05/09/2007	Yasutaro Seto	80400(303227)	3624
21874	7590	05/06/2010	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP			JOYNER, KEVIN	
P.O. BOX 55874			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02205			1797	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
05/06/2010	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/576,264	SETO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KEVIN C. JOYNER	1797	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2010.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 12-28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1, 4-8 and 12-28 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

**FINAL ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4-8 and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,288,306) in view of Hasebe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,047,022).

With regard to claims 1, 12 and 18, Aibe discloses a deodorizing filter comprising two separate halves (88 & 89), a first activated carbon deodorizing filter (88) with an alkali regulated so as to intrinsically have a high-pH environment (column 5, line 25 to column 6, line 15) forming a first half (Figure 12) and a second activated carbon deodorizing filter (89) with phosphoric acid regulated so as to intrinsically have a low-pH (column 6, line 55 to column 7, line 13) environment forming a second half (Figure 12). Aibe does not appear to disclose that one or both deodorizing filter(s) is a filter of a cobalt phthalocyanine complex and an iron phthalocyanine complex supported on an active carbon filled paper. Hasebe discloses a deodorizing filter for removing odors from the atmosphere (column 1, lines 50-68). The reference continues to disclose that the filter comprises a cobalt phthalocyanine complex and an iron phthalocyanine

Art Unit: 1797

complex (column 2, lines 18-26) on said filter (column 2, lines 55-61) in order to decompose hydrogen sulfide and mercaptan by the catalytic action of the complex having oxidation reduction powers (column 2, lines 62-68). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the filter of Aibe to include a cobalt phthalocyanine complex and an iron phthalocyanine complex on one or both activated carbon filters of Aibe in order to decompose hydrogen sulfide and mercaptan by the catalytic action of the complex having oxidation reduction powers as exemplified by Hasebe.

Claims 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20 and 22 further requires that the weight ratio of the phthalocyanine complex/iron phthalocyanine be between 95/5 to 55/45 and the amount of the complexes be in the range of 200 to 20,000 $\mu$ g with respect to 1 g of the paper. It would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize weight ratios of said complexes and the amount of said complexes in order to maximize the deodorization effects against foul smelling materials such as hydrogen sulfide and mercaptan. Only the expected results would be attained.

Claims 6, 15, and 21 further requires that the pH of the high-pH environment is between 7.5-12.0 and the pH of the low-pH environment is 1.5-5.0. The deodorizing filter of Aibe will produce a filter creating a high and low pH environment as set forth above and disclosed in columns 4-7. Nonetheless, for further prosecution, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the high and low pH environment in the filter of Yoshimura in order to maximize the

deodorization results against an acid and alkaline substance simultaneously. Only the expected results would be attained.

Claims 8, 17 and 23 further requires that the active-carbon-filled paper contain active-carbon at a content of 40 to 80 mass %. As set forth in column 5, lines 1-8 of Aibe, said active-carbon-filled paper contains a content of at least 30% active-carbon or more. As such, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the amount of active-carbon in said filter paper in order to maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of the filtering process. Only the expected results would be attained.

3. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,288,306) in view of Hasebe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,047,022) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ishii et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,830,414).

Aibe is relied upon as set forth above. Aibe does not appear to disclose that both filters have a quaternary ammonium salt. Ishii discloses a deodorizing filter comprising a first or second filter provided with one of an alkali or a phosphoric acid (column 2, lines 11-68). The reference continues to disclose that said filter is further provided with a quaternary ammonium salt in order to provide antibacterial properties for said filter (column 3, lines 10-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the filters of Aibe to include a quaternary ammonium salt on said filters in order to provide antibacterial properties for said filter as exemplified by Ishii.

4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,288,306) in view of Hasebe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,047,022) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lindhe (U.S. Patent No. 5,944,878).

Aibe is relied upon as set forth above. Aibe does not appear to disclose that the filters have hydrazine and polyvinylamine compounds. Lindhe discloses a deodorizing filter comprising a set of filters that are provided to remove malodorous gases from the air (column 3, lines 5-25). The reference continues to disclose that the filter is provided with hydrazine derivatives and polyvinylamine compounds (column 2, lines 55-68), or at least a known equivalent alternative thereof (column 4, lines 3-10), in order to remove contaminating gases such as formaldehyde (column 2, lines 55-68). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the filters of Aibe to include hydrazine and polyvinylamine compounds in said filters in order to remove contaminating gases such as formaldehyde as exemplified by Lindhe.

5. Claims 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aibe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,288,306) in view of Hasebe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,047,022) as applied to claims 1, 12 and 18 above, and further in view of Minemura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,936,094).

Aibe is relied upon as set forth above wherein the reference discloses that the alkali treats gases such as sulfur containing compounds. Aibe does not appear to disclose that the alkali is sodium hydroxide. However, it is extremely well known in the

art of deodorization to provide an activated carbon filter with sodium hydroxide. One such example is provided by Minemura wherein the reference discloses an activated carbon filter for the deodorization of air (column 8, lines 40-68). The reference continues to disclose that the filter is provided with an alkali comprising sodium hydroxide in order to produce the predictable result of treating sulfur containing gases (column 6, line 55 to column 7, line 26). Since both Aibe and Minemura provide the alkali to treat contaminating gases such as sulfur containing compounds, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize sodium hydroxide in the filter apparatus of Aibe in order to produce the predictable result of treating sulfur containing compounds as exemplified by Minemura.

### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-8 and 12-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN C. JOYNER whose telephone number is (571)272-2709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,264  
Art Unit: 1797

Page 8

KCJ

/Sean E Conley/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797